

MINUTES

Meeting: Corsham Community Area Transport Group (CATG)
Place: Virtual meeting via Microsoft Teams
Date: Wednesday 29th September 2021
Time: 14:00

Please direct any enquiries to Kate Davey (Traffic Engineer), via email kate.davey@wiltshire.gov.uk

	Item	Update	Actions and recommendations	Who
	Date of meeting: 29th September 2021			
1.	Attendees and apologies			
	<p>Present:</p> <p>Apologies:</p>	<p>Cllr Ruth Hopkinson (Chair Wiltshire Council), Cllr Derek Walters (Wiltshire Council), Cllr Helen Belcher (Wiltshire Council), Kate Davey (Wiltshire Council Highways), Sarah Dearden (Wiltshire Council Highways), Hannah Sibson (Wiltshire Council Highways) David Arnup (Wiltshire Council Highways), Gemma Winslow (Wiltshire Council Highways), James Whittleton (Corsham TC), Tony Clarke (Colerne PC), Peter Shaw (Lacock PC), Stuart Gregory (Lacock PC), Steve Abbott (Corsham TC), Cllr Brian Mathew (Wiltshire Council)</p> <p>Robert Davies (Box PC) Spencer Drinkwater (Wiltshire Council) Ros Griffiths (Wiltshire Council)</p>		
2.	Notes of last meeting			
		The notes of the last meeting held on 1st July 2021 were accepted as a true record.	Noted and agreed.	

3.	Financial Position			
		See Finance sheet. 2021/22 allocation is £10,819.00 (previous years £10,951.00). 2020/21 underspend was £31,785.91 and the current commitments total £37,850.10 giving a remaining budget of £11,467.34.		
4.	Top 5 Priority Schemes			
a)	<p><u>Issue 7043</u></p> <p>High Street, Lacock – High St/West St request for junction modifications.</p>	<p>Drainage survey complete. Street Lighting survey complete. Lacock Parish Council to review and consult locally on lighting design. Currently, the deadline for substantive bids to be submitted is September 2021. Consider CATG/LPC contributions ready for bid process.</p> <p>LPC to confirm whether to have a Stage 1 RSA carried out at this point regarding the minimal lighting option.</p> <p>LPC to carry out further local consultation including street lighting options.</p> <p>LPC to confirm contribution towards scheme ready for substantive bid process.</p> <p>.</p> <p><u>DISCUSSION</u></p> <p>LPC & KD discussed the street lighting options and confirmed overall scheme costs with the group. Group agreed contribution of £1,000 towards scheme. LPC confirmed £20,000 towards bid.</p> <p>Substantive Bid Submitted.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Remove from priority list and move to other schemes until outcome of substantial bid.</p>	SD

<p>b)</p>	<p>Issue 6886</p> <p>A4 and Cross Keys Road junction. Request for reduction in speed limit.</p>	<p>Cost estimate for speed limit assessments is £2,500. This issue to be put on hold until the improvement works due to take place in the summer are complete. Corsham TC did confirm support & 25% contribution for assessment. Cross Keys construction project now finished. Group agreed funding for assessment. Corsham TC confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>The speed limit assessment is currently in progress with Atkins, however, there has been a delay in completing all of the analysis due to Covid-19 restrictions. Atkins are looking at a safe method to undertake journey time surveys which requires risk assessment approval. If approved they anticipate carrying out rest of assessment during December.</p> <p>SA raised concerns over recommendation requesting that section 1 be reduced to 40mph. Officers explained criteria relating to setting speed limit. Request for information on whether environmental impact assessment is carried out as part of the assessment. Group agreed to fund implementation with estimate of £7,000 (CATG £5,250). Subject to Corsham TC confirming 25% contribution of £1,750.</p> <p>Corsham TC confirmed they want speed limit reduced to a 40mph. Issue taken to full council in July.</p> <p>HB raised concerns about assessment recommendation. Once Corsham TC full Council have met further correspondence will be submitted in due course.</p> <p>JW asked a process related question. KD confirmed if Corsham TC convince the rest of the group Councillors that a 40mph speed limit should be imposed, this will have to be escalated to the Head of Highways and Asset Management.</p>	<p>Discussion</p> <p>CATG (Derek, Helen, Ruth, Brian) in full agreement that they support a 40mph speed limit reduction.</p> <p>KD confirms this now needs to be escalated to Gareth Rogers-Traffic Engineering Manager for consideration.</p> <p>Action</p> <p>CATG delegate Ruth and Helen to work with CTC to put case forward to GR.</p> <p>To be added to CTC Properties and Amenities agenda for discussion.</p> <p>All agreed to remove from priority list as no WC time required-Move to other schemes.</p>	<p>HB/RH</p> <p>HB</p> <p>SD</p>
-----------	--	--	---	----------------------------------

<p>c)</p>	<p><u>5-20-4 / 5-20-6 (previously Issue 6876)</u></p> <p>Request for 20mph speed limit on residential streets around Corsham.</p> <p><u>D</u></p>	<p>Cost estimate for speed limit assessments is £2,500. 25% contribution of £625. Corsham TC have now submitted plans for Corsham and Neston to be assessed. Group agreed funding. Corsham TC confirmed contribution.</p> <p>20mph speed limit assessment is in progress, however we are currently waiting to carry out the data collection/traffic surveys until the national restrictions surrounding Covid-19 are relaxed in order to get a true reading of 'normal' traffic speeds for the assessment. KD/JW discussed traffic surveys in January 2021 and agreed due to latest Covid-19 national lockdown to defer this work until the restrictions are eased and traffic returns to normal volumes.</p> <p>Assessment to be carried out as soon as possible. Currently, the timeframe is for traffic surveys to be undertaken over summer period and reports to be finalised in the autumn.</p> <p>Corsham Town Council want surveys done term time-KD to request.</p> <p>Plans forwarded to Cllr Walters showing extents of surveys(KD)</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>KD confirms backlog of surveys due to COVID.</p> <p>RH asks who's counting what and where?</p> <p>CTC confirms Survey in Station Rd is theirs.</p> <p>Corsham School survey- part of the TAOSJ request.</p> <p>Various others around Corsham</p> <p>Keep on Priority list</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Investigate what surveys are currently being done where and when.</p>	<p>SD</p>
-----------	--	--	---	-----------

<p>d)</p>	<p><u>Issue 5818</u> <u>Issue 6364</u></p> <p>Park Lane, Corsham - issues with parking in vicinity of Chestnut Grange & Purleigh Road.D</p>	<p>Monitor parking issues as the developments on Park Lane progress and collate data received from local residents on the inappropriate parking at this location. Group agreed to leave on agenda and continue to monitor until developments have been completed. RH raised potential future issue with increased on street parking due to one unit wanting a change of use. New regulations allow for this without a requirement to apply or impose conditions, therefore this may lead to further parking issues at this location. DA confirmed patching sites have been submitted and are in hand. Group agreed to leave on the agenda for now and monitor.</p> <p>JW commented that most of the development is now complete and so now would be a good time to review this issue. Group agreed to take a holistic approach and revisit this issue.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken in June 2021. Options to be discussed at the meeting.</p> <p>HB and AC confirmed the parking issue has become increasingly worse in the last few weeks (June 2021)</p> <p>Further site visits required to monitor parking situation (SD) Observations attached at end of agenda. Photographic evidence required (GW)</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>SD and GW confirm from their investigations no parking problems currently. HB/SA state problem is sporadic not consistent, likely when an event at the church etc.</p> <p>RH expresses we need to be evidence driven. Put on hold until evidence produced.</p> <p>Gullies seem to be blocked since the recent resurfacing works causing standing surface water.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Remove from priority list and move to other schemes.</p> <p>Look into blocked gullies from recent resurfacing works.</p>	<p>SD</p> <p>DA</p>
-----------	---	--	--	---------------------

<p>e)</p>	<p>5-19-9 Freestone Way, Corsham – position of bus stop.</p>	<p>Corsham TC confirm support for consideration of 3 bus stops along this route. Request review of surface type and use of grasscrete instead of tarmac to fit in with surroundings. Group agreed to allocate £2,000 for now.</p> <p>Site visit undertaken in June 2021. See attached proposals which have been sent to Corsham TC and Passenger Transport (PT) for comments. Cost estimate for each site is in the region of £3,000 (depending on the quote for Grasscrete yet to be received). If agreed not to replace the existing kerbs for bus stop kerbs and simply have a hard-standing area the costs will be reduced. PT can erect flag signs on nearby lamp columns to reduce additional street furniture.</p> <p>KD commented that without installing kassel bus stop kerbs the price will decrease by approx. half</p> <p>Confirm support and contribution after P&A meeting. Group agreed funding if CTC confirm cheaper option & contribution.)(TC)</p> <p>Request Passenger Transport erect flag signs on lamp columns at each site. Send example kerbs to CTC.(KD)</p> <p>New plans attached and Costs received: Totals 3 sites £15,617.30 <table border="0" style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <td>CATG (75%) £11,712.97</td> <td>CTC (25%) £3904.33</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location X= CATG £3885.07</td> <td>CTC £1295.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location Y= CATG £3885.07</td> <td>CTC £1295.02</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Location Z (possible drainage issue further investigation needed) = CATG £3942.84</td> <td>CTC £1314.28</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Catman HD CATG £156.60</td> <td>CTC £52.19</td> </tr> </table> </p>	CATG (75%) £11,712.97	CTC (25%) £3904.33	Location X= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02	Location Y= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02	Location Z (possible drainage issue further investigation needed) = CATG £3942.84	CTC £1314.28	Catman HD CATG £156.60	CTC £52.19	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>RH states a number of options:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • CTC pays £11,712.97 and CATG pay the £3904.33 for all 3 sites. • CATG pays £11,712.97 and CTC pays £3904.33 for all 3 sites • Do 1 site per year (CATG 75%/CTC 25%) <p>CTC to state their preference.</p> <p>HB asked why such an increase in cost from previous estimates. KD explains that CTC decided against the cheaper option and wanted tarmac and bus stop Kassel kerbs which are considerably more expensive.</p> <p><u>Actions</u></p> <p>Provide breakdown of costs to CTC (HB) to take to next Properties and amenities meeting.</p> <p>CATG confirms that no more than the original £4000 can be committed towards scheme-amend finance sheet</p>	<p>SD/HB</p> <p>SD</p>
CATG (75%) £11,712.97	CTC (25%) £3904.33													
Location X= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02													
Location Y= CATG £3885.07	CTC £1295.02													
Location Z (possible drainage issue further investigation needed) = CATG £3942.84	CTC £1314.28													
Catman HD CATG £156.60	CTC £52.19													

f)	<p><u>5-20-5</u> Memorial Garden, Market Place, Colerne</p>	<p>Colerne PC have improved disabled access to the Memorial Gardens and are now requesting a dropped kerb and bus stop hard standing area.</p> <p>Group agreed to move to top priority when resources are available. Site visit with Colerne PC to be undertaken once Covid-19 national lockdown restrictions are eased.</p> <p>Site meeting undertaken in May 2021. The cost estimate is in the region of £3,200 (CATG: £2,400. 25% contribution: £800).</p> <p>All agreed to fund the scheme if Colerne agree and confirm contribution.</p> <p>Colerne to install heritage lighting at own expense, coordinate with Wiltshire Council to implement-no action for WC</p> <p>Works are currently on programme for 25th April-29th April (TTRO required for suspension of parking during works).</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Prepare contractors pack and TTRO</p>	SD
----	--	---	--	----

5.	Other Priority Schemes		
a)	<p><u>Issue 6829</u></p> <p>Lacock village – restriction for tourist coach restriction.</p>	<p>Preliminary design sent to Lacock Parish Council for consideration. The estimated costs of this scheme including data collection surveys before and after to analyse success of signing scheme is in the region of £6,000. A 25% contribution would be £1,500. Lacock PC confirmed they do not support this proposal. Subsequently withdrawn and issue removed from priority list. Lacock PC to escalate the issue at strategic level. Group agreed to leave on agenda for now.</p> <p>Lacock PC explained they have written to Western Gateway regarding the impact Melksham bypass will have on the village and coaches. Requests for strategic plan made to Cllr Wayman and MP Michelle Donelan. It was also suggested to contact Cllr Philip Whitehead – Leader of Wiltshire Council to highlight these issues further. No further action currently.</p> <p>Leave on agenda for now and monitor. Melksham Bypass route selection process might have an impact on this issue in the future.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Nothing to add from previous meeting</p>

<p>b)</p>	<p>5-20-2 Lacock Road, Corsham – verge deterioration, request for footway/kerbing installation.</p>	<p>Request for new footway and kerb line along Lacock Road on the southern side for the extent of the properties.</p> <p>PW explained the issues with parents parking on the double yellow lines and issues being cause by the muddy verge for residents. A footway along the south side of Lacock Road has been looked at before through TAOSJ in 2010 and 2015 but was not recommended due to large scale drainage works and relocation of utilities. It was felt this solution would also encourage more parents to drive and park if there was a footway introduced.</p> <p>GW confirmed road markings are in place and visible. KD has requested targeted enforcement by Parking Services team at school times. Ball park figure supplied to Corsham TC for kerbing works. In the region of £20,000 – £25,000. Concerns over safety and parking issues surrounding the school. Request for investigation into school keep clear markings, no loading restriction. Possible scheme for future substantive bid funding. Group agreed to allocate £2,000 for now</p> <p>Site visit undertaken in June 2021 and discussed with David Arnup. Utility identification and gully clearing work to be carried out prior to finalising any design or cost estimate for this project.</p> <p>C Gully clearing and utility identification completed 17/9/21. SD/KD met on site to discuss utility findings and proposed options. Findings show potential for kerblines but requires a Topographical survey and Trial holes to determine buildability. Cost of Topo £2000 (CATG £1500 CTC £500) Cost of Trial Holes £4200 (CATG £3150 CTC £1050) cost valid until 31/3/22) Cost estimate for the installation of scheme £35,000 and would require a substantive bid in September 2022.</p>	<p>Discussion</p> <p>KD/SD visited site in September to look at the area of a proposed kerblines. Numerous buildability problems due to number of utility apparatus in the verge and along the proposed kerblines-including a medium pressure gas main, which we would have to hand dig. Gullies would need to be relocated and repairs to the carriageway. Before anything could be designed a topographical survey (£2000) and trial holes (£4200) would need to be undertaken. Estimated scheme cost in the region of £35,000 likely higher depending on trial hole results and would require a substantive bid in September 2022.</p> <p>RH suggests taking back to next Properties and Amenities meeting to discuss Cost vs benefit ratio.</p> <p>Action</p> <p>Take back to next P&A meeting to decide how to proceed.</p>	<p>HB/CTC</p>
-----------	--	--	--	---------------

<p>c)</p>	<p>5-21-2 B3109 Bradford Road, Rudloe</p>	<p>Request for a safe crossing to be installed, for example a formal signal-controlled crossing. Box PC raised concerns over residents of Redcliffe development on journeys to school. Gap in footway not allowing practical use of new Toucan crossing from this location. The Parish Council requests that the speed limit on the B3109 be reduced from 50 mph to 30 mph on the stretch of the road going from the mini fiveways junction at the top of Westwells Road, past the housing development and the access to Corsham Primary School. The Parish Council would also request a reduction to 20 mph at school opening and closing.</p> <p>As specified in DfT circular 01/13 Setting Local Speed Limits, speed limits should not be used to attempt to solve an isolated issue, the provision of adequate footways in rural locations is a more effective way to improve pedestrian safety than lowering the speed limit over a short distance. The Bellway site plan shows a footway link east of the Dickens Gate development connecting with Skynet Drive. Once this is constructed it is suggested this route is promoted for a safer route to school. Discuss option of substantive bid for a footway from the eastern development to link with toucan crossing. Topographical survey required to progress.</p> <p>Cllr Walters expressed concerns relating to the speed limit and highlighted concerns over whether this issue is being fully addressed.</p> <p>Box PC commented they are unhappy about position of toucan crossing requesting a site meeting. Headteacher proposes reduction in speed limit with option for flashing 20mph advisory speed limit.</p> <p>Cllr Hopkinson gave a comprehensive background on the toucan crossing connection with Skynet Drive and reasoning behind it in relation to the development.</p>	<p>Discussion</p> <p>Group discussed the problems and various options for taking this scheme forward. Looking into the speed of traffic as well as missing footway links to the recent Toucan Crossing installation.</p> <p>CATG agreed to proceed with a speed limit assessment addressing the speed option and a Topographical survey for the footway option.</p> <p>Cost for speed limit assessment and topo £4500 (CATG 75% £3375/CTC+BPC £1125-BPC to confirm they are happy to commit funds towards assessment)</p> <p>Action</p> <p>Move to top Priority list.</p> <p>Produce plan extents for SL assessment and topo.</p> <p>Agree contribution.</p>	<p>BPC</p> <p>SD</p> <p>SD</p> <p>BPC</p>
-----------	--	--	--	---

d)	<p><u>5-21-3</u> Pool Green / Elley Green junction, Neston, Corsham</p>	<p>Concerns over pedestrian safety as no footpath at this junction and visibility is limited. Parents do not like walking this section with buggies and young children as do not feel safe as there is no proper footpath and traffic does not slow down to turn into junction. This road is busy at school times and with a bus route.</p> <p>Preschool numbers have increased due to closure of Cotswold Centre and new housing meaning more pedestrians using this route. Pedestrian movements in this area are difficult due to limited visibility at this junction and lack of footway. Corsham TC support this issue and agree to fund any survey work plus 25% towards implementation up to £5,000. Group supports issue.</p> <p>Design and estimate to be completed when resources allow this issue to reach top priority list.</p> <p>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>DW suggests island of some kind to increase view.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Move to Top Priority list.</p> <p>Investigation with plans and costs</p>	<p>SD</p> <p>SD</p>
e)	<p><u>5-21-4</u> Prospect, Box</p>	<p>Concerns regarding the danger to horse riders along this route. Request for horse warning signs to raise awareness of potential danger.</p> <p>Box PC actioned with collecting data evidence to present at this meeting(Sept).</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>BPC actioned with collecting data evidence to produce at next meeting (Jan 2022)</p>	

f)	<p><u>5-21-6</u> Boxfields Road crossroads junction with White Ennox Lane</p>	<p>The Parish Council request that a crossroads warning sign be erected on Boxfields Road in advance of this junction to reduce the danger of farm vehicles using this junction.</p> <p>Box PC actioned with collecting data evidence to present at this meeting.</p> <p>Email received from Box PC-observations made that up to 12 agricultural vehicles emerge from the crossroads with little visibility and need to pull right out to get a view to proceed. The STOP sign needs replacing as faded and unreadable (Gemma/David to look at), also issue with parents parking near a bend whilst visiting the Woodland Adventure facility.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Maintenance of sign and lining to be looked at.</p>	DA
g)	<p><u>5-21-8</u> Ogbourne, off the High Street, Colerne</p>	<p>Ogbourne is a cul-de-sac off High Street. The first part is adopted highway but there is an unadopted section servicing 5 dwellings. Delivery vehicles are driving into the lane and causing damage to walls and residents' cars because there is nowhere to turn around.</p> <p>Request for signing to discourage commercial vehicles from entering the lane.</p> <p>Colerne PC actioned with collecting data evidence to present at this meeting.</p> <p>Colerne PC confirmed no further incidents since this issue was raised. Leave on agenda but all agreed no further action for now</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Colerne PC confirm no further incidents</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Remove from list</p>	SD

h)	<p><u>5-21-9</u> Fosse Way / Bath Road junction, Colerne</p>	<p>Concerns over vehicle accidents along this route and around the bend. Requests for a review of signing along this route, in particular speed limit signing and reduction to 50mph.</p> <p>Colerne PC and BM commented on safety concerns along this route and request a signing review. Group agreed with issue and suggest a review is carried out when on priority list and resource permits.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>Concerns over vehicle accidents particularly on the bends.</p> <p>If Speed limit assessment required, submit as new separate issue.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Move to top priority list</p> <p>Signing review to be undertaken</p>	<p>SD</p> <p>SD</p>
----	---	--	--	---------------------

i,	<p><u>Issue 6682</u></p> <p>Bridge between Lacock and Bowden Hill.</p>	<p>Site meeting held on 6th August 2019 with KD and Lacock Parish Council. Issues relating to pedestrian safety on the bridge were discussed and subsequent response sent to Lacock Parish Council detailing points considered and suggested possible solution. After group discussion Lacock PC request a reduction in speed limit near the bridge. Group agreed funding £2,500 for assessment. Lacock PC confirmed 25% contribution.</p> <p>Assessment complete. Design plan attached with a cost estimate of approximately £7,000 (CATG = £5,250) (25% = £1,750) for installation of revised speed limit. Group agreed funding for implementation. Lacock PC confirmed contribution. Legal documents with Traffic Regulation Order team for processing.</p> <p>Consultation period complete, no objections received therefore progress to implementation.</p> <p>Works order submitted with implementation date if 9th August 2021 for completion.</p> <p>Removed from Priority list until works complete.</p> <p>Works now complete.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Works complete can be removed from agenda.</p>	SD
----	---	--	---	----

j,	<p><u>5-19-2 (previously issue No 7105)</u></p> <p>Pickwick Road/Newlands Road Pedestrian Crossing.</p>	<p>Proposal plan attached to end of agenda. Estimate £700 (CATG: £525, 25% contribution: £175). Corsham TC asked for clarification on the proposed location of the warning sign. KD explained it was the most visible position with sufficient space to erect this sign. Group approved the funding proposal to installation. Corsham TC confirmed contribution.</p> <p>Work ready to implement and will be completed on site as soon as possible in the new financial year.</p> <p>Works order submitted and implementation is imminent. Removed from Priority List into other priorities until works complete.</p> <p>Works on site complete, billing information imminent.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Works complete can be removed from agenda.</p>	SD
----	--	---	---	----

k,	<p><u>5-20-8</u> Leafy Lane, Rudloe, Box</p>	<p>Referred to previous proposal submitted 2/3 years ago. Group agreed to revisit this issue and move to top priority when resources are available. BA arranged virtual meeting to discuss issues held on 11/01/21. Site visit undertaken by KD to assess the site further. To be discussed at the meeting. Discussed previous proposal and information on this project so far. Box PC confirmed they are supportive of the issue. BA requested additional coloured surfacing to the proposal. Highway officers discussed the pros/cons to this element on the maintenance side of things. Group agreed to allocate £1,000 for now.</p> <p>Updated estimate sent to Box PC for review in May 2021. Cost in the region of £3,800 (CATG: £2,850. 25% contribution: £950)</p> <p>Box PC email 15/6/21 – requested the CATG put this scheme on hold until further options have been considered.</p> <p>Removed from Priority list and moved to other priorities.</p> <p>SSE fence obstructing visibility Cllr Walters agreed to meet PC on site to discuss further. Done.</p>	<p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Speak with BPC about how to proceed with this scheme.</p>	<p>DW/BM</p>
----	---	---	--	--------------

L,	<p><u>5-21-12</u> Corsham Park Lane - Speed limit</p>	<p>The Town Council has received a number of comments from residents in the new developments off Park Lane about the need to reduce the speed limit at the western end of the road. At present Park Lane has a 30mph limit from its junction with the A4 to the Peel Circus turning. The area from Peel Circus to Westwells Road is a 40mph limit. It could be argued that the nature of the road has changed (following the building and occupancy of the new housing) and that a 40mph limit might no longer be appropriate. This is especially true for the section between Peel Circus and Hudswell Lane.</p> <p>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u> Speed limit assessment £2500 (CATG 75% £1875/CTC 25% £625)</p> <p><u>Action</u> CTC to confirm support and contribution.</p>	CTC
M,	<p><u>5-21-14</u> Corsham, A4 Pickwick junction with Middlewick Lane</p>	<p>Residents concerned about safety in crossing the A4 at Pickwick in the vicinity of Middlewick Lane. There is current no formal crossing and many dog walkers, parents with children and joggers cross at this location. Traffic is speeding through the village in excess of the 30mph speed limit. Request for signal-controlled crossing.</p> <p>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available to investigate a pedestrian survey.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u> HB confirms crossing the road is a problem. SID data shows 85-90% speeds less than 30mph.</p> <p>Pedestrian assessment approx.£1000</p> <p><u>Action</u> Add to agenda for next Properties and Amenities meeting</p>	CTC/HB

N,	<p><u>5-21-16</u> Corsham, Beechfield Road – Parking issues</p>	<p>Parking in the turning area behind 10-12 Beechfield Road is preventing access to the garages of these properties. Request for hatching in the area adjacent to the garages to prevent parking and allow residents access to their garages.</p> <p>All agreed to leave on agenda for now and Cllr Hopkinson to contact Police regarding enforcement.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>No evidence of a problem</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Refer to Police.</p> <p>Remove from agenda.</p> <p>Requester to be informed</p>	<p>RH</p> <p>SD</p> <p>CTC</p>
O,	<p><u>5-21-17</u> Corsham, Potley Lane – Congestion and speeding</p>	<p>Congestion and speeding on the stretch of Potley Lane between the Leafield Industrial Estate and Potley Bridge, especially the middle section where the carriageway becomes single lane due to on street parking. Request for speed reduction measures such as traffic calming/20mph speed limit. Possibly widening the carriageway through the narrow section if feasible.</p> <p>All agreed to leave this issue on the agenda until space on priority list becomes available.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>HB explains with more development results in more pedestrians crossing.</p> <p>If speed limit assessment required costs are £2500 (CATG £1875/CTC £625)</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>To be added for discussion at next Properties and Amenities meeting.</p>	<p>CTC/HB</p>

6.	New Requests / Issues		
a,	<p><u>5-21-18</u></p> <p>Corsham Smiths Yard/High Street-Street Nameplate</p>	<p>No Street name sign, there was one previously but no longer. It leads to confusion for delivery drivers etc.</p> <p>To be discussed at meeting.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>All agreed an issue, sign approx. £200 would need permission from owner</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Move to Top priority list SD</p> <p>Plan and cost required. SD</p>
b,	<p><u>5-21-19</u></p> <p>Box, Market Place</p>	<p>Concerns over large vehicles for McColl's being mis directed down the narrow one-way road of Market Place, residents park cars outside on road and large lorries getting stuck trying to get through. PC request a warning sign for narrow road and unsuitable for large lorries.</p>	<p>BPC not at meeting to discuss, therefore issue will be deferred to next meeting.</p>

<p>c,</p>	<p><u>5-21-20</u></p> <p>Corsham Station Road. Building protection</p>	<p>Cars swing into Station Road from Pickwick Road (outside The Methuen Arms) and they often take the corner too wide. On a couple of occasions, they have hit the side of the house (3 Station Rd). Lorry had previously taken out some of the window ledge on the first floor and another time a car drove into the side of the house and scrapped and dented the wall. Whole house shook.</p> <p>Possibility of bollards/planters to be installed for protection, CTC supports the request but needs to be in keeping with the area (no plastic etc) options to be looked at.</p>	<p><u>Discussion</u></p> <p>All agreed an issue. If bollards to be installed be mindful of conservation area and the need to be in keeping with the surroundings.</p> <p><u>Action</u></p> <p>Move to Top priority list.</p> <p>Plan and costs required</p>	<p></p> <p>SD</p> <p>SD</p>
-----------	---	--	---	-----------------------------

7.	AOB		
	Gastard speeding issues	<p>General discussion on recent complaints from residents regarding speeding traffic through Gastard. RH confirmed that the police have been made aware of the issue. DA/JW are currently discussing a new SID position in Gastard to help increasing driver awareness. Noted that this might be raised in the future as a formal CATG issue for consideration.</p> <p>Corsham TC requested a list of costings for standard highways works and assessment/survey costs.</p>	<p>Action Provide a list of costs to CTC</p> <p>SD</p>

8.			
	<p><u>TOP FIVE PRIORITY SCHEMES LISTED BELOW (SCHEMES HIGHLIGHTED IN YELLOW REQUIRE FUNDING APPROVAL FROM THE AREA BOARD):</u></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. 5-20-4 Request for 20mph speed limit on residential streets, Corsham SL Assessment £2,500 (CATG £1875, Corsham TC £625) 2. 5-19-9 Freestone Way, Corsham Bus Stops £xxxx (CATG £4000, Corsham TC £xxxx) 3. 5-20-5 Memorial Gardens/Market Place Colerne footway works £3200 (CATG £2400, Colerne PC £800) 4. 5-21-2 B3109 Bradford Road Rudloe Speed limit assessment and topo £4500 (CATG £3375, Corsham TC/Box PC £1125) 5. 5-21-3 Pool Green/Elley Green Junction Pedestrian works £xxxx 6. 5-21-9 Fosseyway/Bath Road Junction Colerne signing review £xxxx 7. 5-21-18 Smiths Yard/High Street Corsham, nameplate £xxxx 8. 5-21-20 Corsham Station Road building protection £xxxx 		

9.			
	Date of Next Meeting	12 th January 2022 @ 2pm via MS Teams	

Corsham Community Area Transport Group

Highways Officer – Kate Davey

1. Environmental & Community Implications

1.1. Environmental and community implications were considered by the CATG during their deliberations. The funding of projects will contribute to the continuance and/or improvement of environmental, social and community wellbeing in the community area, the extent and specifics of which will be dependent upon the individual project.

2. Financial Implications

2.1. All decisions must fall within the Highways funding allocated to Corsham Area Board.
 2.2. If funding is allocated in line with CATG recommendations outlined in this report, and all relevant 3rd party contributions are confirmed, Corsham Area Board will have a remaining Highways funding balance of **£11,467.34**

3. Legal Implications

3.1. There are no specific legal implications related to this report.

4. HR Implications

4.1. There are no specific HR implications related to this report.

5. Equality and Inclusion Implications

5.1 The schemes recommended to the Area Board will improve road safety for all users of the highway.

6. Safeguarding Implications

6.1 There are no safeguarding implications

7. Recommendations

Corsham Area Board are asked to approve recommendations

